SHODH SAGAR
Journal of Commerce and Economics
Vol. 1 | Issue 3 | Oct - Dec 2024 | Peer Reviewed & Refereed

Behavioral Economics in Financial Decision-Making: Insights from Experimental Studies

G.S. Popli
Professor, Department of Commerce
Punjab.

Accepted: 10/10/2024 Published: 31/12/2024

* Corresponding author

How to Cite this Article:
Popli, S. (2024). Behavioral Economics in Financial Decision-Making: Insights from Experimental Studies. *Shodh Sagar Journal of Commerce and Economics*, 1(3), 22-26.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/ssjce.v1.i3.18

Abstract:
Laboratory studies are used to show the role of behavioral economics in making financial decisions. Traditional economic theories say that people make smart financial choices by trying to get the most out of their money. Behavioral economics, on the other hand, disagrees with this point of view by showing how cognitive biases, feelings, and social influences can make people act in ways that are not logical. This study takes the results of several experiments that looked at how psychological factors, like being too sure of yourself, not wanting to lose, and being biased toward the present, affect financial decisions like investing, saving, and managing risk. The findings indicate that people often make bad money choices because of habits and biases, which has big effects on both personal finances and market outcomes. To help people make better financial decisions, lawmakers and financial institutions can create interventions like choice architecture and "nudges." adding to the growing area of behavioral economics by showing how cognitive limitations affect financial behavior and giving useful advice on how to improve financial health.
Keywords: behavioral economics, financial decision-making, cognitive biases, experimental studies, nudges, heuristics, choice architecture.

Introduction

Traditionally, economic theory has been built on the idea that people are rational and make choices that maximize their own utility, especially when it comes to making financial choices. Classical economics says that people should weigh costs and rewards, think about risks, and make the best decisions possible when making choices about investments and savings plans. Behavioral economics, on the other hand, looks into the mental, emotional, and social factors that affect how people make decisions, and its findings are starting to question this view. Behavioral economics says that people aren't always logical. Instead, it says that people use shortcuts and are affected by biases, which can cause them to make choices that aren't always what standard models would predict. When people are making financial decisions, behavioral economics shows how cognitive flaws like overconfidence, loss aversion, and present bias can

© 2024 Published by Shodh Sagar. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License [CC BY NC 4.0] and is available on https://jce.shodhsagar.co.in

make them make bad decisions. For instance, investors may hold on to stocks that are losing value for too long because they have an emotional connection to the original investment, which goes against the idea of rational financial behavior. People may also put off saving for retirement because they are more interested in enjoying the present than in making sure they will have money in the future. These habits can have big impacts on both people's personal finances and the way the market works as a whole. Experiments have been used in the growing field of behavioral economics to look at how real people act when they are dealing with money. This has helped us understand why people make the decisions they do and how we can change those decisions. Researchers have been able to find out how different psychological factors affect people's financial decisions by running carefully planned experiments. These experiments have shown patterns of behavior that standard economic theories can't explain. Doing experiments in behavioral economics that look at how important psychological factors affect choices about money. The paper gives a full picture of how people's cognitive limitations, biases, and feelings affect how they handle their money by looking at the results of these experiments. The study also looks at possible interventions, like nudges and choice architecture, that can help people make better financial decisions. It gives lawmakers and financial institutions useful advice. behavioral biases that affect how people make financial decisions, talk about the methods used in behavioral economics experiments, and look at the outcomes of related research. This paper wants to help people learn more about how behavioral economics can be used to make better financial decisions and improve people's general financial well-being by showing results from these experiments and giving their thoughts on them.

Behavioral Biases in Financial Decision-Making

Behavioral biases have a big effect on financial choices, and they often cause people to go against the logical models of decision-making that are suggested by traditional economics. There are cognitive limitations, emotional influences, and psychological factors that make people see risks, rewards, and decisions in different ways. This part talks about some of the most common behavioral flaws that affect how people make financial decisions. These include overconfidence, fear of loss, present bias, and the anchoring effect. It's important to understand these biases in order to explain why people sometimes make bad financial decisions and to come up with ways to change people's behavior.

1. Overconfidence and Investment Choices Overconfidence is a common cognitive mistake that makes people think they know more than they actually do or that they can accurately predict what will happen in the future. When making financial decisions, being too sure of yourself can lead to taking too many risks. For example, investors may think they can consistently beat the market or predict price changes more correctly than they actually can. Researchers have found that buyers who are too sure of themselves trade more often because they think they have better information, which often leads to higher transaction costs and lower returns. Overconfidence is especially common when people are making investment choices,

© 2024 Published by Shodh Sagar. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License [CC BY NC 4.0] and is available on https://jce.shodhsagar.co.in

because they think they are better at predicting market trends or figuring out how much stocks are worth than they really are.

2. Loss Aversion and Risk-Taking Behavior Loss aversion is the trend for people to want to avoid losses more than they want to get similar gains. Prospect theory says that people think losses are more emotionally damaging than wins of the same size. This bias is one of its main ideas. When making financial decisions, loss aversion can make people avoid taking risks. For example, they might hold on to losing investments for too long in the hopes that they will rebound, or they might avoid opportunities that are risky but could be profitable. Experiments have shown that people are less likely to make risky purchases when they think they might lose money, even if the possible gains are greater than the risks. This unwillingness to accept losses can result in poor stock management and missed chances to make money.

3. Present Bias and Savings Decisions People with present bias tend to value short-term gains over long-term ones. This can make them put things off or forget to make plans for the future. When it comes to personal finances, present bias can have a big effect on how much people save. People may decide to spend their money on things they will need right away instead of saving for long-term goals like college or retirement. When people have to choose between getting something right away and saving money for the future, they usually choose the instant satisfaction, even if the long-term benefits are big. Many people have trouble saving enough for retirement because they don't realize how important it is to wait to get what they want.

4. Anchoring Effect in Financial Markets When people make decisions, the anchoring effect happens when they depend too much on the first piece of information they see (the "anchor"). This can happen even if the information is irrelevant or made up. Anchoring can affect investment choices in the financial markets by making people fixate on a certain price or value, like the price at which a stock was first bought or a historical high. This bias can make buyers hold on to assets that aren't doing well in the hopes that their value will rise back to the anchored level, instead of making smart choices based on how the market is doing right now. Experiments have shown that anchoring can affect your ability to make fair financial decisions, which can affect how much you pay for things like stocks, real estate, and even insurance.

To sum up, behavioral flaws like overconfidence, loss aversion, present bias, and the anchoring effect have a big impact on how people make financial decisions. People with these biases often make decisions that don't make sense from an economic point of view, which can lead to less-than-ideal financial results. By learning about these biases and how they affect behavior, financial advisors, policymakers, and organizations can come up with ways to lessen their effects. For example, they could use "nudges," better financial education, and personalized interventions. Getting rid of these biases is important for helping people make better financial choices that will help them in the long run.

Conclusion

what experimental studies have shown about the important part that behavioral economics plays in making financial decisions. Behavioral economics shows that people often make bad financial decisions because of cognitive biases, emotional influences, and psychological

© 2024 Published by Shodh Sagar. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License
[CC BY NC 4.0] and is available on https://jce.shodhsagar.co.in

factors. Traditional economic models believe that people make rational financial decisions that maximize utility. The results of experiments show that biases like overconfidence, loss aversion, present bias, and the anchoring effect have a big effect on choices about things like investing, saving, and managing risk. These cognitive flaws not only make it harder for people to make the best financial decisions, but they also affect the economy and financial markets in a bigger way. Overconfidence, for example, can make people trade and take risks too much, while loss fear can make them hold on to investments that are losing money for too long. On the other hand, present bias changes how people save for the future, which means they aren't ready for retirement. The grounding effect changes how prices and values are judged, which makes the market even less efficient. Policymakers, financial companies, and advisors who want to make better financial decisions can learn a lot from understanding these biases. Behavior changes, like nudges and well-thought-out choice structures, might be able to lessen the bad effects of these biases, allowing people to make smarter and better financial decisions. Policymakers can make strategies that help people have better financial results and improve market performance as a whole by addressing the cognitive limitations and emotional drivers behind financial behavior. Finally, behavioral economics gives us a deeper understanding of how people make financial choices by recognizing the complicated relationship between logic and emotion. Researchers are learning more about how people don't follow standard economic models through experiments. This means that these findings could be used to make better financial systems and interventions. By understanding and dealing with behavioral biases, we can help people make better financial decisions, learn more about money, and improve everyone's overall financial well-being.

Bibliography

Ayyalasomayajula, Madan Mohan Tito, Srikrishna Ayyalasomayajula, et al. 'Efficient Dental X-Ray Bone Loss Classification: Ensemble Learning With Fine-Tuned VIT-G/14 And Coatnet-7 For Detecting Localized Vs. Generalized Depleted Alveolar Bone'. Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, vol. 28, no. 02, 2022.

Pandey, S. (2024). Financial Crises and Their Impacts on Global Economies: Lessons from the 2008 Financial Crisis. *International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar*, *15*(2), 150–156. https://doi.org/10.36676/jrps.v15.i2.19

Manya Bhatia. (2022). Impact of eCommerce Companies on Indian Economy. *International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar*, *13*(2), 171–179. Retrieved from https://jrps.shodhsagar.com/index.php/j/article/view/587

Madhu. (2022). Challenges, and Promises of Urbanization in the World. *International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar*, *13*(1), 15–22. Retrieved from https://jrps.shodhsagar.com/index.php/j/article/view/206

Saxena, K. (2017). ROLE OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN THE ECONOMIC DEVOLPMENT IN INDIA. *Universal Research Reports*, *4*(13), 300–304. Retrieved from https://urr.shodhsagar.com/index.php/j/article/view/444

© 2024 Published by Shodh Sagar. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License
[CC BY NC 4.0] and is available on https://jce.shodhsagar.co.in

Devi, L. (2018). Regional planning in India: A Review. *Universal Research Reports*, *5*(4), 216–219. Retrieved from https://urr.shodhsagar.com/index.php/j/article/view/752

Kumar, R. (2013). Analyzing the importance of risk management in the financial industry. *Darpan International Research Analysis*, *1*(1), 17–23. Retrieved from https://dira.shodhsagar.com/index.php/j/article/view/4

Singh, S. (2024). The Impact of Judicial Reforms on Economic Development: A Cross-National Study. *Indian Journal of Law*, *2*(5), 38–43. https://doi.org/10.36676/ijl.v2.i5.56

Kashyap, H. (2024). Income Inequality and Economic Development: Exploring the Relationship Across Nations. *Shodh Sagar Journal of Commerce and Economics*, *1*(1), 6–11. https://doi.org/10.36676/ssjce.v1.i1.0

© 2024 Published by Shodh Sagar. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License [CC BY NC 4.0] and is available on https://jce.shodhsagar.co.in